UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,894	09/22/2006	Hiroshi Iwamoto	076476-0011	4397	
	7590 12/24/200 WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER			
600 13TH STR	EET, NW N, DC 20005-3096	DYE, ROBERT C			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003-3090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арі	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10,	/593,894	IWAMOTO ET AL	IWAMOTO ET AL.			
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
		RO	BERT DYE	1791				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTORY OF THE	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. ututory period will appwill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 22 Senter	mber 2006					
•	•	2b)⊠ This actio						
3)		<i>,</i> —		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	, ,	,				
· ·		o application						
•	Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or alo	ction requirement					
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or elec	Silon requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 Septembe</u>	<u>r 2006</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)	☐ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/2006,7/10/2007,7/13/20</u>	·	Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date i Informal Patent Application 				



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,894 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yutaka (JP2002/137225, of record, and partial machine translation) in view of Akio et al. (JP2001/089021, of record, and partial machine translation).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,894

Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

5. Yutaka teaches a method and apparatus for removing dissimilar material from a plastic product wherein a plastic product is placed on a seat unit (holder 12) and a punching device is moved up and down to complete separate the dissimilar materials (see figures 7-9). Regarding the use of a blade unit, the machine translation of Yutaka describes the punching part as an edged tool which pierces the plastic article (paragraph 0009) and the part is described in paragraph 22 as having a "knife angle". An edged tool with a knife angle edge for piercing material would constitute a blade unit.

6. Yutaka does not teach a method or apparatus wherein the working unit is located below the seat unit and blade unit. In the same field of endeavor of apparatus for separating dissimilar material, Akio et al. (hereinafter Akio) teach an apparatus wherein the working unit (control part 14) is located near the base board 11 of the device for the purpose of fall prevention (paragraph [0022]). Placing a weighted working unit as described by Akio would lower the center of gravity for the apparatus and thus make it less susceptible to falling over. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the working unit of Yutaka at the base of the apparatus as taught by Akio for the purpose of providing greater stability to the apparatus.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Iwamoto et al. (PGPub 2004/0149096) disclose an apparatus wherein an inner core of plastic material is punched out with a bladed unit (figure 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,894 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT DYE whose telephone number is (571)270-7059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Del Sole can be reached on (571)272-1130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RCD

/Joseph S. Del Sole/